## Wheeler, Denise

From:

GLicausi@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 19, 2013 8:24 AM

To:

NRB - Comments

Cc:

glicausi@aol.com; Gill, Peter

Subject:

Comment on Land Use Panel v. Jack Bowen

The following comment refers to Docket No. 15-2-12 Vtec on page 3 of 6, D. 1. and 2.

No. 1 states that approximately 60 truckloads of existing stone may be removed. First of all, 60 truckloads seems like too many to remove the small amount of material brought on-site last summer (2012) I have photographs from many years ago showing piles of stone near the spring.

No. 2 mentions using up to 1500 cy to be removed. Hopefully more material will not be removed from the gravel pit because so much of the pit needs to be re graded and brought back to its former shape before the excavating activities.

In closing, I would like to comment on the amount of fine that Mr. Bowen will pay to the State of Vermont: I feel that the \$10,000, is not sufficient considering the damage that has incurred and the flagrant attitude of the Respondent while he was informed for many years that he needed permits to operate his gravel pit. The original fine of \$25,000. was more in line considering the damage, constant noise and inconvenience to our neighborhood. Mr. Bowen made a considerable income while operating without the necessary notice and permits and hopefully will not try to operate again with this enforcement action.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Gale M. LiCausi

## Wheeler, Denise

From:

GLicausi@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:54 AM

To:

NRB - Comments

Cc:

glicausi@aol.com; Gill, Peter

Subject:

Comment on Land Use Panel v. Jack Bowen

The following comments refer to Docket No. 15-2-12 Vtec. and specifically to the proposed Bowen Site Plan:

No. 3 refers to a catch basin with a  $2' \times 2'$  cover, four feet deep. How far will the catch basin be from my deeded spring identified on the site plan maps and how large will the catch basin be? It is highly important that the catch basin not impact or restrict my deeded spring.

No. 4 is incorrect in stating that Mr. Bowen did not impact the southerly and southwesterly portions of the pit. Mr. Bowen repeatedly excavated the bottom of the steep slopes thus going beyond his property line and impacting his neighbors' property.

No. 9 refers to using material on site to re grade and reshape the affected areas. More material needs to replenish what has been removed. Many areas are below grade and these areas need to be brought back to their original grade level.

Additionally, the maps are vague and need more exact measurements, including but not limited to distances from one area to another, i.e. from the catch basin to the aforementioned deeded spring.

Thanking you for the enforcement of this matter.

Sincerely,

Gale M. LiCausi

## Wheeler, Denise

From:

GLicausi@aol.com

Sent:

Wednesday, June 19, 2013 5:50 AM

To:

NRB - Comments

Cc:

glicausi@aol.com; Gill, Peter

Subject:

Comment on Land Use Panel v. Jack Bowen

As a neighbor and abutting landowner to Mr. Jack Bowen, i would like to add my comments re the Assurance of Discontinuance issued as Docket No. 15-2-12 Vtec:

The berm along Walker Mt. Road has been severely degraded and sections have been excavated below grade. Several trees in the berm have fallen onto Walker Mt. Road and last August, one of Mr. Bowen's trees fell across the utilities wires with a fire resulting requiring the Clarendon Fire Dept. as well as several other communities assisting. I would like to see this berm returned to its former strength to maintain the remainder of trees along the berm as well as filling in the below grade sections along the berm in the pit area and also including the rest of the gravel pit.

Several "ponds" and areas of standing water have been uncovered during Mr. Bowen's long time excavation of his unpermitted gravel pit. I have deeded rights to a spring on his property and I would like this area to remain intact and the ponds and standing water areas once more covered.

Hopefully, the idling of his trucks while rehabilitating the site will not be allowed before his daily restricted hours begin. In addition, I am very gratedful that this Assurance of Discontinuance has been issued. Thank you very much. Sincerely,

Gale M. LiCausi