

## NRB - Comments

---

**From:** Scott <keepyourdrawingloose@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 08, 2015 5:46 PM  
**To:** NRB - Comments  
**Subject:** Red Barn Brewing

To Whom it May Concern,  
The violation amounts seem excessive against Red Barn Brewing.

In the Michael Gingras case, an established business since 1978, with \$3,000,000.00 yearly gross receipts has an incident of repeated direct violation in operation procedures lasting months, yet their fine is less?

In the Red Barn case we are talking about a couple of business owners unfamiliar with the State requirements, trying to whittle a small start-up brewery out of basically nothing.  
A small section of the family barn.

Are the two cases comparable?  
All things are comparable.

One business knows the State rules and procedures and may even consider the small fine, just a part of doing business.

Red Barn Brewing is not an operating business at this stage.

How are they supposed to recoup the cost of "doing business", when they have zero income from the business and can't legally earn any money at this point, from the business?

This situation seems a bit like a strangle hold.

Scott Legendre